Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 10/25/2011 3:04:01 PM Filing ID: 77038 Accepted 10/25/2011

Docket No. A2012-24

Postal Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20268-0001

NOTICE OF FILING UNDER 39 U.S.C. § 404(d)

TO THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:

Please take notice that on October 20, 2011, the Commission received a petition for review the Postal Service's determination to close the Ozan post office located in Ozan, Arkansas. The petition for review was filed by the Customers of Ozan, Arkansas post office, and is postmarked October 12, 2011.

This notice is advisory only and is being furnished so that the Postal Service may begin assembling the administrative record in advance of any formal appeal proceedings held upon the alleged (closing/consolidation) for transmittal pursuant to 39 CFR § 3001.113(a) (requiring the filing of the record within 15 days of the filing with the Commission of a petition for review). The Postal Service's administrative record is due no later than November 4, 2011.

Shoshana M. Grove

Secretary

Date: October 25, 2011

Attachment

A2012-24

This is a petition for a request for review of the decision to close the Ozan Post Office.

Docket #1376531-71855

Received OCT 20 A 12: 33

October 03, 2011

Postal Regulatory Comission 901 New York Ave NW STE 200 Washington D.C. 20268-0001 OCT 17 2017 OSTAL REGULATORY
COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of PAGR

The Postal Service has informed us of a decision to close our post office. This action is being taken after meeting the provisions of the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970 and over our protestations.

We, the customers of Ozan Post Office, vigorously protest this action in view of the provision in the Postal Reorganiztion Act that calls for the Postal Service to provide a maximum degree of effective and regular postal service to rural areas, communities and small towns where the post office is not self-sustaining.

The Postal Service was an organization for service not profit.

Service in a smaller office is a lot different than it is in a larger office. It is friendlier and much more helpful. When you take that away you also take away service.

Some senior citizens in this community are unable to read or write. If they go into a bigger office are they going to recieve the help they need to fill out money orders or have a letter read to them? The larger offices may not have the time to devote to individual needs such as these because they need to keep longer lines moving as quickly as possible in an attempt to earn more revenue and keep the volume of sales increasing.

It would seem there is not a whole lot that we as customers can do about this as it seems that the Postal Service has already made up its mind about the consolidation process. But just take a look at all these offices before making a final decision and please remember that the Postal Service was based on SERVICE to start with.

We feel that we have some valid suggestions that we ask you to consider:

- Saturday Delivery
- Cut the hours in the smaller offices instead of completely closing them.
- Cut back on the marketing. The Postal Service is familiar to everyone and it is doubtful
 that the millions of dollars spent in marketing is doing anything to increase sales.
- For those who are eligible for retirement offer them something to encourage them to retire.

The Postal Service's decision to close our post office and provide rural delivery service raises questions concerning the sanctity of the mail. We also foresee inconveniences in purchasing money orders and stamps and sending mail. We have the same concerns regarding the receipt of mail, such as certified letters, CODs, and registered letters.

We feel that as citizens of the United States, we are entitled to the same efficient postal service provided to our counterparts in urban areas. The Postal Reorganization Act is explicit in pointing

this out. We petition you, as members of The Postal Regulatory Commission, to respectfully consider our protest and order the Postal Service to give additional considerations to our needs.

Respectfully, Name

Address

10

Name



Name	Address
nathen family	
Gabrille C. Suft	
Johnnie F Swift	
Andres Johnson	
B.D. Johnson	
Johnnie Young	
Bernie Young	
Dotter 1. Suft	*
Jackned Johnson	m *
John Thyler	-
Marking Taylor	2
MILLION Taylor	*
Shory may	S .
Babbie Brady	
Show Mafa	
,	

Sharan Craven
Sharan Craven
MARTIN Menpes
Lexox BASS
Miels Dream
Valuaba Dream
Valuaba

1			
Doe al Green			
0			
Channe B. Hann			
June Johns			
Marles auxer		8	
Pal			
Del Jal			
March	*		
(Bold (Bally)	0		
77	•		
Malil Car			
San Jan	**		
Thelego Stead	<u>'</u>		
0 00 +			
Marce Shart			
8. 16 6			
Kuykinessa			
Chirles Tillinster			
Chires 1 proposed			
	3 ×		
1000			
Y <u></u>			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			